

**Codebook for the replication data of
“Analyzing Gender Gaps in Bicameral Legislatures:
How Asymmetrical Institutions Affect Supply and Demand
for Female Candidates”**

Refer to the Online Appendix for the exact wording of the questions and the sources of data on the percentage of women members in the Diet.

Demand-side study (demand_side_data.csv)

Qx_HOR/Qx_HOC

Perceived candidate favorability as a House of Representatives (HoR)/House of Councillors (HoC) member in the *x*-th conjoint task

- 1: Not favorable at all
- 2:
- 3:
- 4:
- 5:
- 6:
- 7:
- 8: Very favorable

priming

Whether the superiority of the HoR was primed

- 0: Without priming
- 1: With priming

HOR_first

Order of conjoint tasks for HoR and HoC candidates

- 0: HoC tasks first
- 1: HoR tasks first

R.gender

Respondent's gender

- 1: Man

2: Woman

3: Other

R.age

Respondent's age

prefecture

Respondent's prefecture of residence

1: Hokkaido

2: Aomori

3: Iwate

4: Miyagi

5: Akita

6: Yamagata

7: Fukushima

8: Ibaraki

9: Tochigi

10: Gumma

11: Saitama

12: Chiba

13: Tokyo

14: Kanagawa

15: Niigata

16: Toyama

17: Ishikawa

18: Fukui

19: Yamanashi

20: Nagano

21: Gifu

22: Shizuoka

23: Aichi

24: Mie

25: Shiga

26: Kyoto

27: Osaka

28: Hyogo

- 29: Nara
- 30: Wakayama
- 31: Tottori
- 32: Shimane
- 33: Okayama
- 34: Hiroshima
- 35: Yamaguchi
- 36: Tokushima
- 37: Kagawa
- 38: Ehime
- 39: Kochi
- 40: Fukuoka
- 41: Saga
- 42: Nagasaki
- 43: Kumamoto
- 44: Oita
- 45: Miyazaki
- 46: Kagoshima
- 47: Okinawa

R.education

Respondent's level of education

- 1: Junior high school
- 2: High school
- 3: Vocational school
- 4: Junior college
- 5: Technical college
- 6: University
- 7: Graduate school

partisanship

Respondent's partisanship

- 1: Liberal Democratic Party
- 2: Constitutional Democratic Party
- 3: Democratic Party For the People
- 4: Komeito

- 5: Japanese Communist Party
- 6: Japan Innovation Party
- 7: Social Democratic Party
- 8: Reiwa Shinsengumi
- 9: Other political organization
- 10: I don't support any party
- 11: I don't know/Prefer not to say

importance_x

Pretreatment perceived importance of the election listed in the x -th position

- 1: Not at all important
- 2:
- 3:
- 4:
- 5:
- 6:
- 7:
- 8:
- 9:
- 10:
- 11: Very important

election_x

Which type of elections is displayed in the x -th position in the question of *importance_x*

HoR: House of Representatives election

HoC: House of Councillors election

local: unified local elections

F-x-y

The y -th attribute in the x -th task of the conjoint experiment. Since the order of attributes was fixed for each respondent, they remain constant for $x = 1, \dots, 20$. Unlike Qx_{HOR} and Qx_{HOC} , x represents a shared serial number for both HoR and HoC candidates in this variable.

gender: Gender

party: Party affiliation

age: Age

education: Education
occupation: Occupation
hometown: Hometown
experience: Political experience
dynasty: Dynastic status

F-x-1-y

The y -th attribute's level in the x -th task of the conjoint experiment (note that this study employed a single-profile design). Unlike Qx_HOR and Qx_HOC , x represents a shared serial number for both HoR and HoC candidates in this variable. See Table A.2 in the Online Appendix for the English translations of the levels.

Supply-side study (supply_side_data.csv)

outcome

Intention to run for office

- 0: I will definitely not apply
- 1: I might not apply
- 2: Neither
- 3: I might apply
- 4: I will definitely apply

HOC

Assignment to the HoR or HoC condition

- 0: HoR condition
- 1: HoC condition

power

Whether the power information was provided

- 0: Without the power information
- 1: With the power information

tenure

Whether the tenure information was provided

- 0: Without the tenure information

1: With the tenure information

group

Experimental group

1: HOC = 0, power = 0, and tenure = 0

2: HOC = 0, power = 1, and tenure = 0

3: HOC = 0, power = 0, and tenure = 1

4: HOC = 0, power = 1, and tenure = 1

5: HOC = 1, power = 0, and tenure = 0

6: HOC = 1, power = 1, and tenure = 0

7: HOC = 1, power = 0, and tenure = 1

8: HOC = 1, power = 1, and tenure = 1

female

Respondent's gender

0: Male

1: Female

age

Respondent's age

prefecture

Respondent's prefecture of residence (same as *prefecture* in the demand-side study)

education

Respondent's level of education (same as *R.education* in the demand-side study)

partisanship

Respondent's partisanship (same as *partisanship* in the demand-side study)

Percentage of women members in the Diet (women_MPs_data.csv)

year

Election year

type

The chamber for which the election was held, “Lower” (HoR) or “Upper” (HoC)

seats

The number of total seats

women

The number of women members

LDP.seats

The number of LDP candidates who won the election. Note that this variable and those below pertain to the number of election winners, and that the sum of the LDP and non-LDP variables does not equal the total number of seats in the HoC, as the HoC employs staggered elections.

LDP.women

The number of LDP women candidates who won the election

non.LDP.seats

The number of non-LDP candidates who won the election

non.LDP.women

The number of non-LDP women candidates who won the election

Census distribution of age × gender (census_age_gender.csv)

This file represents the distribution of the population in Japan by age (18 to 79 years) and gender based on the 2020 census.

Census distribution of prefecture × age (census_prefecture_age.csv)

This file represents the distribution of the population in Japan across various prefectures by age (18 to 79 years) based on the 2020 census. The column labels (X18, X19, ...) represent different ages.